

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,564	09/19/2003	Katherine L. Saenger	YOR90030274US1	5537	
75	90 01/17/2006	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Paul D. Greele	ey, Esq.	WILLIAMS, AL	WILLIAMS, ALEXANDER O		
Ohlandt, Greele	y, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.	.P.			
10th Floor	-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
One Landmark		2826	2826		
Stamford, CT 06901-2682			DATE MAILED: 01/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Andrew Occurrence	10/666,564		SAENGER ET AL.	$\langle \omega \rangle$			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Alexander O		2826				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the c	over sheet with the (	correspondence add	ress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING INSIDE IT IN THE MAILING IN THE MAILING IN THE MAILING IT IN THE MAILING IN THE MAILING IN THE MAILING IN THE MAILING IT IN THE MAILING IN THE MAILING IN THE MAILING IN THE MAILING IT IN THE MAILING IN THE	DATE OF THIS 136(a). In no event, I will apply and will e te, cause the applica	COMMUNICATIO however, may a reply be til prire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this cone (C) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 (	October 2005.						
		s action is non	-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-33</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election req	uirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		-	• •	R 1.121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigi	n priority unde	· 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
***								
Attachment		••		(DTO 440)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-1	152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)	Other:					
.S. Patent and Tr PTOL-326 (R		ction Summary	———Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date	e 20060111			

Application/Control Number: 10/666,564 Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

Serial Number: 10/666564 Attorney's Docket #: YOR920030274 US1

Filing Date: 9/19/2003;

Applicant: Saenger et al.

Examiner: Alexander Williams

Applicant's Amendments filed 9/19/05 and 10/31/05 to the election of species I (claim 1), filed 3/28/05, has been acknowledged.

This application contains claims 2 to 33 drawn to an invention non-elected with traverse.

Again, Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure (for example, Applicant's current abstract refers to a method, not the device).

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

Art Unit: 2826

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/666,564

Art Unit: 2826

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Grill et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,413,852 B1).

1. Grill et al. (figures 1A to 5D) specifically figure 4C show a closed air gap interconnect structure comprising: at least two conductive interconnect lines 185, separated by an air gap 190,270' and supported underneath by a plurality of robust support dielectric 200 disposed only underneath said interconnect lines and not beneath the air gap, wherein at least one of said lines is connected to at least one conducting via 182,185 encased in said robust support dielectric, and wherein said lines are capped on top by a cap layer 460.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Grill et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,737,725 B1).

1. Grill et al. (figures 1A to 5D) specifically figure 4C show a closed air gap interconnect structure comprising: at least two conductive interconnect lines 185, separated by an air gap 190,270' and supported underneath by a plurality of robust support dielectric 200 disposed only underneath said interconnect lines and not beneath the air gap, wherein at least one of said lines is connected to at least one conducting via 182,185 encased in said robust support dielectric, and wherein said lines are capped on top by a cap layer 460.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Cotte et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,346,484 B1).

1. Cotte et al. (figures 1 to 4B) specifically figure 3C show a closed air gap interconnect structure comprising: at least two conductive interconnect lines 210, separated by an air gap 310 and supported underneath by a plurality of

Application/Control Number: 10/666,564

Art Unit: 2826

and not beneath the air gap, wherein at least one of said lines is connected to at least one conducting via 230,210 encased in said robust support dielectric, and wherein said lines are capped on top by a cap layer 250.

## Response

Applicant's arguments filed 10/31/05 have been fully considered, but are most in view of the new grounds of rejections detailed above.

The insertion of Applicant's additional claimed language, for example, "in claim 1" cause for further search and consideration to make this action final.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See M.P.E.P.  $\ni$  706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R.  $\ni$  1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Art Unit: 2826

The listed references are cited as of interest to this application, but not applied at this time.

Field of Search	Date
U.S. Class and subclass: 257/774,700,701,758751,760,750,759,522,618	6/11/05 1/11/06
Other Documentation: foreign patents and literature in 257/774,700,701,758751,760,750,759,522,618	6/11/05 1/11/06
Electronic data base(s): U.S. Patents EAST	6/11/05 1/11/06

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander O. Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272 1924. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-7:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272 1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alexander O Williams Primary Examiner Art Unit 2826

AOW 1/11/06